

**ANNEX “A”**

**PROJECT: FAS-SAP CUSTOMIZATION: EXTENSION OF AMOUNT FIELD LENGTH (TRILLION) AND INCLUSION OF 1% WITHHOLDING TAX CONFIGURATION**

**WRITTEN QUERIES:**

	<b>Query/Clarifications</b>	<b>TWG/BAC Reply</b>
1	<p>Scope of Work – <b>Extension of amount field to trillion</b> P. 29 Technical Specifications</p> <p>For the timeline specified, the testing for the amount field extension can only cover the standard Financial Accounting (FI) transactions. (They presented the FI T-codes that will be affected)</p> <p>Would this be acceptable to SSS?</p>	<p>In addition to the T-codes suggested by the vendor, there is a need to include T-code FAGLGVTR – Balance Carried Forward.</p> <p>There is also a need to create a sub-account under the Reserve Fund one for Policy Reserves and another for the Retained Earnings. This is to provide SSS with information on what is really the actual earnings coming from operations and from the estimated contract liability.</p>
2	<p>Also related to the timeline, can we suggest to make it out of scope the enhancement or fixing of the customized reports, such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Profit and Loss</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Changes in Financial Position</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Changes in Reserves and Cash Flow Statement</li> </ul> <p>If ever these were affected by the extension of the Amount field to trillion? However, we can include the testing of the mentioned customized reports, so SSS would know if there is a need to fix. The 60 days timeline is just enough to focus on the Global change of the Field Amount, from 12 digit field to trillion field. There are a lot of technical tables that needs to be <u>updated</u> and tested.</p>	<p>The financial reports is taken from this T-code S_PLO_86000028 – Financial Statement: Actual/Actual Comparison which is a standard report from SAP.</p>
3	<p>Configuration of the 1% Withholding Tax.</p> <p>What is Alphanumeric Tax Code (ATC) and description, where do you use it?</p>	<p>The 1% withholding tax is for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> B080 – persons exempt from VAT under Sec. 109V (Creditable) - Government Withholding Agent</li> </ul>

	Query/Clarifications	TWG/BAC Reply															
	<p>Is this stored in a standard table in SAP, where all the tax codes configured are stored?</p> <p>If the answer is yes, then we can include the configuration of the 1% withholding tax in the 60 days timeline.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> B084 – persons exempt from VAT under Sec. 109V (Final)</p> <p>Effectivity of which is from July 1, 2020 to June 30, 2023 per Revenue Regulation No. 2-2021.</p> <p>Yes, it is stored in T-code SE16N available for SAP Administration where the list of tax codes can be generated (T059Z)</p>															
4	<p>For the incorporation of the new ATC code in the BIR Alpha Listing Report, can we make this an out of scope for the 60 days timeline?</p> <p>We may include this in the testing to determine if this was affected by the additional configuration, however, again, the fixing of the report may take some time and the 60 days timeline may not be enough.</p>	<p>The Alpha listing is taken from the reports. Further, the following T-Codes are affected by this change:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> XK01 – Creation of new vendor codes</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> XK02 – Update/change vendor details</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> FV60 – Enters tax details in withholding tax information</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ZFIR0001 – Analysis of withholding taxes (BIR Withholding Tax Report per GL Account)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ZFIR0005 – Monthly Remittance Report</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> S_P00_07000134 – Printing of Tax Certificates</p>															
5	<p>Payment Terms: P. 22 Specific conditions of Contract Can we suggest the following payment terms for this engagement:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3">PAYMENT OF TERMS</th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="3">Proposed Payment Terms:</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Mobilization</td> <td>Upon Contract Signing</td> <td>30%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>DEV</td> <td>End of First Month</td> <td>50%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>QA/PRD</td> <td>End of 2nd Month</td> <td>20%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	PAYMENT OF TERMS			Proposed Payment Terms:			Mobilization	Upon Contract Signing	30%	DEV	End of First Month	50%	QA/PRD	End of 2nd Month	20%	<p>COA Circular No. 82-177 dated March 4, 1982, prohibits against advance payment on government contracts. Further, said circular requires that payments shall be made only after services had been rendered or delivered, duly inspected and accepted as certified by the officials concerned.</p>
PAYMENT OF TERMS																	
Proposed Payment Terms:																	
Mobilization	Upon Contract Signing	30%															
DEV	End of First Month	50%															
QA/PRD	End of 2nd Month	20%															
6	<p><b>Technical Questions:</b></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> SSS are maintaining 3 or more company codes like SSS, EC and other company codes. Where are these company codes residing (on 1 SAP instance and same database)?</p> <p>SAP Database/Instance/1 Client: SSS/EC/Other company codes</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Are the changes restricted to SSS</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes, the different company codes are residing in 1 instance and same database and server.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Considering that the change will</p>															

	<b>Query/Clarifications</b>	<b>TWG/BAC Reply</b>
	<p>and EC company code only? Currently, if the company codes are residing in same instance/database, SAP customization is global for data dictionary (tables, column definition, types, domain) and ABAP Code.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> What is the system landscape tier used for your SAP System? If yes, the SAP standard changes will impact all the SAP clients/data if they are residing on same instance, we suggest to use Sandbox Server/Instance first to isolate and minimize downtime on the 3-tier landscape</p>	<p>be global, it is ok with us that it will no longer be restricted to SSS and EC company codes.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Requesting that a loan server be provided during the testing since SSS does not have extra server to accommodate the application.</p>

*ella*